		PERFORMANC				
NAME OF PROVIDER (Last, First, MI)	or use of the	is form, see AR 40-0 2. RANK/GRADE	3. SSAN	onent agenc	Accordance to the second secon	HATION
TO AN INC. OF PARTY INC. IEEE, PARTY INC.		2. MAINIONADE	J. JJAN		PERIOD OF EVAL FROM	TO TO
5. DEPARTMENT/SERVICE	6. SPECIALTY/AOC		7. FACILIT		(Name and Address: City/State/	3.025
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		123			70.32327
8. PURPOSE OF EVALUATION						
☐ Initial privileges ☐ Renewa	al of privileg	es Modificat	ion of privile	ges 🗍 i	Reassignment/separation	Adverse action
9. ACTIVITY DATA (Indicate overage # per r	The same of the same of the				iding patient care%	
() Ambulatory care visits (ncy care visits () Admissi) Major diagnostic proce	
() Radiographic studies (procedures () Deliverie) Other (Specify):	
10. IS THERE ANY ASPECT OF THE P	ROVIDER'S	HEALTH STATUS	WHICH THE	CREDENTIA	LS COMMITTEE SHOULD	CONSIDER IN
AWARDING PRIVILEGES? ☐ NO ☐	YES (Explai)	n)	7	MEDICAL	EACHITY	
				. MEDICAL	PACILITY	
11. IS THE PROVIDER'S ATTENDANC ☐ YES ☐ NO (Explain)	E AND PAR	TICIPATION IN PRO	FESSIONAL	ACTIVITIES	AND COMMITTEE MEET	INGS ACCEPTABLE?
12. ARE THE PROVIDER'S INTERPERS	ONAL SKIL	IS WITH BOTH PAT	TIENTS AND	STAFF AC	CEPTARIE?	
☐ YES ☐ NO (Explain)				ormit mo	our industr	
13. CLINICAL PERFORMANCE PROFILI	E (Provide qua	ntitative data and explain p	atterns of care a	s demonstrated	through the following functions.)	
a. ANTIBIOTIC USAGE REVIEW						
 BLOOD PRODUCTS UTILIZATI 	ON REVIEW	1				
c. SURGICAL CASE REVIEW						
C. SUNGICAL CASE NEVIEW						
d. RECORDS REVIEW						
e. PHARMACY AND THERAPEUT	ICS BEVIEW	A/				
e. Hinimae Alle Hicharde	105 HEVIE					
f. MORBIDITY/MORTALITY REVI	EW					
g. INFECTION CONTROL						
h. UTILIZATION REVIEW						

	i. ANCILLARY SERVICES UTILIZATION				
	j. OCCURRENCE SCREENING				
	MA 1 (2000) 1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)				
	k. RISK MANAGEMENT				
	I, DEPARTMENT/SERVICE SPECIFIC REVIEWS				
14.	REMARKS				
100-2010					
15.	PERFORMANCE EVALUATION. The following evaluation is base	ed on this provider's demonstr	ated clinical pe	rformance com	pared to that
which	n can reasonably be expected of a provider with his/her education	onal background, level of train	ing, and experie	ence. Check (K) the
appro	priate column. Any unacceptable rating must be explained belo	w in block 16.			
			ACCEPTABLE	UN- ACCEPTABLE	NOT APPLICABLE
a.	Basic professional knowledge				
b.					
c.					
	Patient management skill			Vice in the second	
	(1) Outpatient				
	(2) Inpatient				
	(3) Operating room				-
е.					
f.	Oral communication skills				
	Control of the Contro				
g.					
h.	CONTROL CONTRO				5
1.	Appearance				
J.	Emotional stability				
	Sense of responsibility				
J.	Professional conduct				
	Ethical conduct				
_	Leadership capability				
	Quality and timeliness of medical/dental record documentation				
16.	COMMENTS				
		u sassa — Sassa wasanana sasaana sasaana	20.000000000000000000000000000000000000		
17a.	DATE (YYYYMMOD) 17b. NAME OF EVALUATOR/GRADE/TITLE	17c. SIGNATURE OF EVAL	UATOR		REVIEWED
				1000000	PROVIDER
					YES NO